Department of Communication Bylaws

Approved: September 20, 2002

Amended: August 22, 2015

Introduction

These Bylaws provide for faculty participation in the operations of the Department, in accordance with the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) between the American Association of University Professors - Wright State University Chapter (AAUP-WSU) and Wright State University. They may be amended in accordance with the current CBA. Throughout these bylaws "department(al) faculty" shall mean “all TET and NTE Bargaining unit faculty members (BUFM) holding primary appointments in the Department of Communication”. These bylaws define and include operational procedures for both standing and ad hoc departmental committees.

Section I. Procedures by which Bargaining Unit Faculty give advice and make recommendations

A. Faculty Appointment

Faculty Appointment: A committee (ad hoc) shall be formed, of which Bargaining Unit Faculty Members (BUFM) shall constitute a majority, to review candidate applications, rank them and recommend the top candidates for interviews. All departmental faculty shall be offered an opportunity to meet with each candidate who is interviewed on campus. After all interviews for a faculty position are completed, the departmental faculty will rank the interviewed candidates at a departmental faculty meeting. The rankings will be summed to provide an overall ranking which, along with the reasons for the rankings, will be presented to the Department Chair and Dean. If none of the ranked candidates are selected the Dean shall meet with the faculty to explain his or her decision.

Chair Appointment: A committee (ad hoc) shall be formed, of which BU FM shall constitute a majority, to review candidate applications, rank them and recommend the top candidates to management for interviews. Once management approves candidates for on campus interviews, all departmental faculty shall be offered an opportunity to meet individually or in small groups with each candidate. After all interviews for a chair position are completed, the departmental faculty will rank the interviewed candidates at a departmental faculty meeting. The rankings will be summed to provide an overall ranking which, along with the reasons for the rankings, will be presented to the Dean. The Dean will meet with the faculty to announce and explain his or her decision.

B. Promotion and Tenure

The TET Promotion and Tenure committee shall perform the annual cumulative reviews of progress toward tenure and shall review and make recommendations on TET candidates for tenure and/or promotion. The committee shall elect its chair. The committee shall be composed of all those bargaining unit faculty who hold the rank of tenured associate professor or tenured full professor. The Department Chair serves as a non-voting member. If there are not at least three tenured departmental bargaining unit faculty members at the rank of associate or full professor, the candidate seeking promotion and/or tenure may suggest tenured bargaining unit faculty at this rank in other departments who would be qualified to evaluate his/her file. The department promotion and tenure committee shall select additional members to bring the committee membership up to three, but is not limited to those suggested.

Procedure for Promotion of Tenure-track Assistant Professor to Tenured Associate Professor: The Promotion and Tenure committee will review the candidate's promotion document and any internal or external letters of support. The chair of the promotion and tenure committee will take notes of the discussion so that the reasoning behind the committee's recommendation may be summarized in a written letter from the committee. The letter should include the finalized results of a ballot. If the results are not unanimous, balloting will occur, with further discussion between balloting, until identical results are obtained in two successive ballots, at which time the results are finalized. A committee member should abstain from voting only if: 1) there is a conflict of interest or 2) the committee member is serving on the college and University P&T committees, such that voting in the department would allow him or her more than two votes on the same file. The P & T committee will write a letter summarizing the committee vote and the reasoning for the vote before it is sent to the Dean. Any abstentions should be explained in the letter.
Tenured Associate Professor to Tenured Full Professor: The composition of the committee and procedures for voting are as described for the assistant professor above unless there are not at least two department TET faculty at the rank of tenured full professor in which case tenured bargaining unit full professors from outside the department will be added to the committee. The candidate seeking promotion may suggest full professors in other departments who would be qualified to evaluate his/her file. The department promotion and tenure committee shall select additional members to bring the committee membership up to at least three, two of whom must be full professors, but is not limited to those suggested.

C. Professional Development of New Faculty

TET Professional Development Committee: The department faculty will elect a committee of three tenured bargaining unit faculty. These faculty members will constitute the departmental TET Professional Development Committee. At least one member of this committee shall be assigned to each new TET faculty member as a mentor. Each mentor will meet periodically with the new faculty member to discuss any problems being encountered and offer suggestions for improvement. If the promotion and tenure committee identifies an area in which a department faculty member needs to improve, such as teaching, this committee (the TET PDC) will be charged with meeting with the faculty member and providing some suggestions to that faculty member which should result in improvement in the problem area. This committee will do peer reviews of TET faculty teaching described in Section II. This committee will also make a recommendation, when requested, for a faculty member seeking Professional Development Leave from the department. Recommendation of a professional development leave and formal suggestions for improvement of faculty must be written.

NTE faculty development. Each new NTE faculty member will be assigned a mentor. Peer evaluation of NTE faculty teaching will be conducted in accordance with the CBA.

D. Other Committees:

Ad hoc committee may be established to meet any departmental need. The majority of members of any ad hoc committee established by the department faculty must be departmental BUFMs.

E. Teaching Assignments and Class Schedules Including Summer and Overloads

Teaching Assignments: Individual faculty members may submit scheduling recommendations to the chair. The schedule developed by the chair shall be presented to the faculty at least two weeks before the deadline for scheduling classes. The faculty member will forward any written recommendations for schedule revisions to the department chair.

Summer Teaching: If a faculty member is willing to teach summer he or she should indicate such in writing, identifying the course or courses which he or she prefers to teach.

Overload teaching: If requested by the chair, faculty members will indicate if they are willing to teach an overload.

F. Undergraduate Curriculum and Academic Standards:

Curriculum Committee: The department curriculum committee will review all proposed new department courses, suggested modifications to existing department courses, changes to academic standards for admission into the department's courses, graduate study, and programs; and changes to graduation requirements for the department's programs. Committee recommendations will be forwarded to the department chair, who will schedule a department meeting for a full faculty vote on the recommendations. The committee first shall be filled by volunteers. If at least three departmental faculty members do not volunteer, the faculty shall elect members to fill the committee. A majority of the committee must be bargaining unit faculty members.

Assessment Committee: The department assessment committee will conduct any assessments of the department's major programs including course content and learning outcomes that may be required. Assessment committee reports shall be submitted to the department faculty and the chair. The department chair shall select three members for the committee, including at least one TET and one NTE member. If the departmental faculty desire, they may elect additional members to the committee. A majority of the committee must be bargaining unit faculty.

G. Faculty Involvement in the Review of Chairs:

The department faculty will cooperate in any administrative review of the chair. They shall receive a copy of formal reviews.

H. Issues Affecting the Department:

Issues which affect the department will be presented to the faculty at regularly scheduled departmental faculty meetings by the department chair or by any departmental faculty member, so that the recommendations or advice of the departmental faculty may
be heard by the department Chair. The department will meet at least once during the first two weeks of each semester. Other meetings will be called when the Chair desires or when requested by the Chair of any department committee as needed. Any departmental faculty member who desires to discuss any subject may ask the Chair to call a meeting. If the Chair does not agree to the meeting, the faculty member should ask the appropriate committee to hear his or her request. If the committee concurs that a meeting is desirable it will so inform the Chair. If a majority of the departmental faculty wish to meet to discuss a departmental topic, they shall inform the Chair who shall call a meeting. Meetings shall follow Robert’s Rules of Order.

I. Faculty Selection of Senate Representative:

Each year the departmental faculty shall elect a representative to the Liberal Arts College senate.

Section II. Criteria and Procedures for Annual Evaluation of Bargaining Unit Faculty:

The department Chair will annually evaluate the performance of Bargaining-Unit Faculty following the procedure outlined in the CBA.

A. Annual Evaluation of Teaching: Criteria for Evaluation of Teaching are set forth in the CBA.

B. The Evaluation of Scholarship (TET faculty)


The Communication Department values research and scholarship, and fully understands that real scholarship often bears fruit only after a researcher spends a considerable time preparing the ground. Thus, in determining merit in scholarship, the Chair should not only weigh publications but the time and effort of preparation. (Collaborative scholarship normally requires as much effort as single-author scholarship and should be evaluated accordingly.) However, higher levels of merit in scholarship, particularly the rankings of Outstanding and Extraordinary, will normally require publication of the kind described below.

To receive a score of 1 (Adequate) in scholarship, a faculty member must

- Keep current with scholarship in an appropriate professional field
- Demonstrate that currency by at least one of the following: attending a conference, chairing a panel, delivering local lecture(s) or presentation(s) on ongoing research, engaging actively in ongoing research and writing, or the equivalent

To receive a score of 2 (Meritorious) in scholarship, a faculty member must

- Keep current with scholarship in an appropriate professional field
- Demonstrate that currency by at least one of the following: attending a conference, chairing a panel, delivering local lecture(s) or presentation(s) on ongoing research, engaging actively in ongoing research and writing, submitting a paper for competitive review, or the equivalent
- Go beyond the demonstration of scholarly competence by delivering a paper or presentation at an academic conference, publishing one review, publishing or presenting applied scholarship, publishing a substantial professionally related article or commentary in a national or international consumer, trade or professional publication, preparing an article or articles for a reference work, publishing one or more short creative works, or the equivalent

To receive a score of 3 (Outstanding) in scholarship, a faculty member must

- Keep current with scholarship in an appropriate professional field
- Demonstrate that currency by any two of the following, for example attending a conference, chairing a panel, delivering local lecture(s) or presentation(s) on ongoing research, engaging actively in ongoing
research and writing, publishing one or more reviews, preparing an article or articles for a reference work, or the equivalent

- Go beyond the demonstration of scholarly competence by delivering a significant paper or a presentation at a significant academic conference, publishing one or more reviews, preparing an article or articles for a reference work, publishing one or more short creative works, or the equivalent

- Demonstrate professional accomplishment by at least one of the following: by publishing an article in a peer-reviewed academic journal, publishing a chapter in a peer-reviewed academic book, publishing a longer creative work, receiving an award acknowledging excellence in a refereed paper competition, completing editing or co-editing a peer-reviewed academic book with a recognized university or academic press, revising and republishing a previously published book or textbook, revising and collecting previously published work in a single volume, publishing several significant professionally related articles in professional publications of national circulation, receive funding for a significant external grant, publish applied scholarship which makes a significant contribution to the field, or the equivalent.

To receive a score of 4 (Extraordinary) in scholarship, a faculty member must do at least one of the following fully or some partial combination of at least two of the following, or the equivalent, in addition to otherwise keeping at least an Adequate or Meritorious level of scholarly activity:

- Publish a peer-reviewed academic book
- Publish a professional textbook with a recognized publisher
- Publish two or more professional articles in peer-reviewed academic journals
- Publish two or more chapters in peer-reviewed academic books
- Publish a creative or professional work with a recognized publisher [3]
- Publish four or more articles in recognized and significant non-refereed journals
- Or the equivalent

A score of 0 (Unsatisfactory) in scholarship will be given to any faculty member who cannot satisfy the requirements for an Adequate evaluation. Symptoms of Unsatisfactory scholarly performance include (but are not limited to) demonstrations of incompetence in matters of professional expertise, periods of four or more years without a professional publication of any kind, refusal to respond to mentoring or to develop a research plan (if requested), and so on.

2. Evidence for the Evaluation of Scholarship

The department recognizes that scholarship effort is a continuing activity. Faculty may claim a work for the purposes of merit in more than one year. For example, an article may be reported in year one as being "in preparation" and in year two it may be "published." Only the published article may be used as evidence of activity above the "Meritorious" level.

As evidence of scholarship at the Meritorious level and above, the faculty member should submit the following:

- For all published works: a copy of the publication
- For all works listed as accepted but not yet published: a printed copy of the accepted manuscript or galley proofs of the printed publication
- For all conference papers: a printed copy of the manuscript

In addition, all faculty may (if they wish) submit a statement describing their research program and publication plans, as a way of placing in context the performance for a given year.

C. Evaluation of Service: Criteria for the Evaluation of Service are set forth in the CBA.

Section III. Criteria and Procedures for Promotion and Tenure of TET Bargaining Unit Faculty

The following paragraphs describe the criteria for promotion and tenure of TET BUFMs in the Communication Department. Candidates for promotion and tenure may present accomplishments dating from before their hiring at Wright State University if the accomplishments are relevant to their case. Candidates for tenure already at the Associate Professor or Professor rank may present evidence from Wright State and/or from the previous place of employment.
All TET faculty are expected to show that they have been productive in all three areas of teaching, scholarship, and service.

1. Criteria for Promotion to the Rank of Associate Professor (with tenure)
   
   2. Teaching:

   The candidate for promotion to Associate Professor with tenure must show that he or she has advised and taught students successfully. Among other things, a successful teacher will do both of the following, or the equivalent:

   - Establish and maintain high and appropriate standards of achievement in all classes
   - Help students acquire the knowledge and strategies for meeting those standards

   In addition, a successful teacher will do some or all of the following, or the equivalent:

   - Perform other teaching-related functions effectively and responsibly, including formal and informal advising, serving on honors project or thesis committees, working with independent-study students
   - Develop new courses and/or significantly revise existing courses
   - Integrate appropriate technologies into classes in a meaningful and useful way

   Evidence of Successful Teaching

   - Each candidate for promotion and tenure will produce a portfolio of teaching which will be part of the “evidence of teaching effectiveness” section of the candidate’s Promotion and Tenure Document. The portfolio of teaching will include a selection of evidence showing how the candidate has performed actions like those itemized above.
   - The department Chair and the PD Committee will arrange for the peer evaluation of teaching for all non-tenured TET bargaining unit faculty. Such peer evaluations of teaching will be placed in the candidate’s Document pursuant to the TET CBA.
   - The candidate may place additional testimonials, solicited or unsolicited, responses to peer-written letters, student evaluation comments, or other documents relating to his or her teaching in the portfolio of teaching.
   - Optionally, the candidate may choose to place some portions of the portfolio of teaching in appendices to the Document rather than in the “evidence of teaching effectiveness” section of the Document.

2. Scholarship

   The candidate for promotion to Associate Professor with tenure must show that he or she has pursued a successful and effective program of ongoing scholarship. Scholarship can include traditional forms of research and publication as well as professional writing and creative efforts.[2] Scholarship may be collaborative.[3] At a minimum, success in scholarship sufficient for promotion and tenure will include the following, or a clear equivalent[4]:

   - Four substantial articles, chapters or other publications in or accepted without revision by reputable peer-reviewed academic journals or books[5]

   Although scholarly publication is a goal, we recognize that not all significant faculty scholarship eventuates in publication.

   Candidates for promotion with tenure may further demonstrate their "successful and effective program of ongoing scholarship" by listing activity like the following, or the equivalent:

   - Conference papers
   - Research-related grant proposals
   - Published reviews, notes, and other short articles
   - Preparation of unpublished drafts
   - Scholarly conference attendance
   - Consulting
Evidence of Successful Scholarship:

- The candidate must provide copies of all publications.
- Works accepted but not yet published, works not yet accepted for publication, conference papers, and works in progress (if they are claimed) must be provided to the TET Promotion and Tenure Committee in typescript form.
- The candidate will provide a list of four to seven potential outside reviewers qualified to evaluate the quality of his or her work. The Committee will solicit letters from three of these reviewers. If the Committee cannot find three appropriate and available reviewers from the candidate's initial list, the candidate will provide additional names, as requested, until the Committee can find enough appropriate and available reviewers. Reviewers should be scholars qualified in the candidate's field(s). The Committee will use the reviewers' letters to help gauge the candidate's contributions to his or her field.

3. Service

The candidate for promotion to Associate Professor with tenure must show that he or she has performed appropriate service successfully and effectively. Success in service should include at a minimum the following, or their clear equivalents:

- Responding to requests for activity reports, workload plans, etc. in a timely fashion
- Participating regularly in department faculty meetings
- Attending and participating in commencement ceremonies regularly as required by the contract
- Serving on two or more department committees
- Serving on one or more college or university committees or otherwise demonstrating involvement in service to the university outside the department

As part of the service itemized above or in addition to it, the department will recognize and especially welcome service activities that advance the department, college, university, or academic discipline, such as the following, or the equivalent:

- Chairing an important department committee
- Taking a leadership role in some aspect of university work, e.g. in assisting with a search, in developing a new course, in evaluating required texts for a course, in leading an assessment activity for the department or the university, in developing a student-centered activity, or the equivalent
- Performing service for professional organization(s) locally, regionally, or nationally
- Performing community service related to professional expertise

Evidence of Successful Service:

Each candidate for promotion and tenure will list service accomplishments on the CV, which is a required part of the promotion and tenure document. The candidate may include any testimonials, solicited or unsolicited, relating to service as part of an appendix to the promotion and tenure document.

3. Criteria for Promotion to Professor

The candidate for promotion to Professor must show that he or she has been productive and successful, at a level significantly beyond that required for promotion to Associate Professor, in each of the three areas of faculty activity. The candidate must also show significant evidence of effective leadership in several areas of his or her professional life, leadership that has had positive effect upon the department, college, university, community, and/or profession of communication.

1. Teaching

   To be eligible for promotion to Professor a faculty member must show that in the area of teaching he or she has accomplished the following, or their equivalents:
2. Scholarship
To be eligible for promotion to Professor a faculty member must show that in the area of scholarship he or she has accomplished the following, or its equivalent:

- Published a scholarly book, scholarly monograph, or at least four substantial scholarly articles, chapters, or other equivalent publications beyond the number required to achieve Associate Professor

Candidates for promotion to Professor may further demonstrate their ongoing scholarship by listing activity like the following, or the equivalent:

- Conference papers
- Research-related grant proposals
- Published reviews, notes, and other short articles
- Preparation of unpublished drafts
- Conference attendance
- Consulting

The candidate will provide a list of five to seven potential outside reviewers qualified to evaluate the quality of his or her work. The PTR committee will solicit letters from three of these reviewers. If the PTR committee cannot find three appropriate and available reviewers from the candidate's initial list, the candidate will provide additional names, as requested, until the committee can find enough appropriate and available reviewers. Reviewers should be scholars qualified in the candidate's field(s). The PTR committee will use the reviewers' letters to help gauge the candidate's contributions to his or her field.

3. Service
To be eligible for promotion to Professor a faculty member must show that in the area of service he or she has accomplished the following, or their equivalents:

- Chaired an important department, college, and/or university committee with significant responsibilities
- Taken a leadership role in some crucial aspect of university work, e.g. in assisting with a search, in evaluating required texts for a course, in leading an assessment activity for the department or the university, in developing a student-centered activity, or the equivalent
- Performed service for professional organization(s) locally, regionally, or nationally
- Performed community service related to professional expertise

4. Criteria for Tenure Only
To be eligible for tenure a faculty member already at the Associate Professor or Professor rank must demonstrate the level of accomplishments defined above for promotion to those respective ranks. The candidate may freely use evidence from Wright State and from previous positions, academic and non-academic where appropriate, to demonstrate ongoing effectiveness in teaching, scholarship, and service. All candidates must submit at a minimum a full CV describing in detail their teaching, scholarship, service, and other relevant academic experiences.

---

[1] The department recognizes that its faculty represent not only traditional academic areas, such as rhetoric and public address, but also professional areas such as broadcasting and print journalism. In this document, "scholarship" refers to the publication of original research and scholarship in both print and electronic media. In assessing electronic scholarship, the Chair will be guided by the standards current in the profession for evaluating electronic media.

The criteria acknowledge that creative and applied efforts as well as the traditional refereed article, can be evidence of scholarship. Examples of those equivalencies are described in the promotion and tenure section of the bylaws and apply here.
The term "traditional" here does not in any way exclude scholarship that may appear in on-line journals or other non-print-and-paper formats. Creative or professional works shall be evaluated as the equivalent of research-based scholarship providing the work appears in reputable, selective publications with strong regional or national reputation.

The department recognizes that collaborative scholarship normally requires as much effort as single-author scholarship and should be evaluated accordingly. A co-authored work will be counted as the equivalent of a similar single-authored work.

"Equivalent" here refers both to the effort going into a work and the professional importance of the scholarship itself. Thus, a scholarly book might be the equivalent of four articles; an important edited book may well be the equivalent of two or more important and substantial articles; an article widely recognized as groundbreaking in a major field may well be counted as more than one article; an article in an important journal or professional magazine, although not technically "peer-reviewed," may be equally important. In addition, applied research reports, particularly if resulting from a significant grant, may count, if equivalent.

"Substantial" here refers to work which (a) meets professional standards for depth and breadth of coverage, and (b) reflects current thought and practice within specific disciplines.
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